

Course Directors:

N. Galiè (IT), G. Simonneau (FR)

# **CTEPH – comments and proposals**

### Irene Lang, MD, FESC

Division of Cardiology, Medical University of Vienna



### **Disclosures**

- Irene Lang has relationships with the following: AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals, Actelion, AstraZeneca, Bayer Pharma AG, Cordis, GSK, Medtronic, MSD, Pfizer, Sanofi, Servier, and United Therapeutics
  - In addition to being an investigator in trials involving these companies, relationships include consultancy, research grants, and membership of scientific advisory boards

### **Comprehensive clinical classification**

4. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension and other pulmonary artery obstructions

4.1 Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension
4.2 Other pulmonary artery obstructions
4.2.1 Angiosarcoma
4.2.2 Other intravascular tumors
4.2.3 Arteritis
4.2.4 Congenital pulmonary arteries stenoses
4.2.5 Parasites (hydatidosis)

Galié N, Humbert M, et al, *Eur Heart J*. 2016 Jan 1;37(1):67-119.

### **Acute PE and CTEPH**







## **Risk factors for CTEPH versus iPAH**

Clinical history of acute VTE - operable Clinical history of acute VTE - non-operable

Age per 10 years - operable Age per 10 years - non-operable

Mean pulmonary arterial pressure per 10 mm Hg - operable Mean pulmonary arterial pressure per 10 mm Hg - non-operable

Gender (Female) - operable Gender (Female) - non-operable

Diabetes mellitus - operable Diabetes mellitus - non-operable



Lang I et al. Thrombosis & Haemostasis 2013; 110: 83-91

bes acute PE beget chronic thro	omboe	mbol	Cumulative incidence of CTEPH %
intonary hypertension?			4.6
reference	Number of patients with acute	Average observat time in months aft	8.3
Long-term outcomes in acute pulmonary thromboembolism: the incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension and associated risk factors {Korkmaz, 2012}	325	16.3	9.1
Echocardiographic assessment of pulmonary arterial pressure in the follow-up of patients with pulmonary embolism {Otero, 2011}	744	14	0.57
Incidence of symptomatic and asymptomatic chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension] {Marti, 2010}	110	24	2.7
Prospective cardiopulmonary screening program to detect chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension in patients after acute pulmonary embolism {Klok, 2010}	877	34	0.4
Active search for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension does not appear indicated after acute pulmonary embolism {Surie, 2010}	110	36	0.4
Incidence of recurrent venous thromboembolism and of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension in patients after a first episode of pulmonary embolism {Poli, 2010}	239	36	4.7
Prevalence Of Chronic Thrombo-embolic Pulmonary Hypertension After Acute Pulmonary Embolism : A Prospective Multicenter Study {Sanchez, 2010}	700	26	8.8
Incidence of chronic pulmonary hypertension in patients with previous pulmonary embolism {Dentali, 2009}	91	6-12	1.0
Incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension after a first episode of pulmonary embolism {Becattini, 2006}	259	46	
Survival and restoration of pulmonary perfusion in a long-term follow-up of patients after acute pulmonary embolism (Miniati, 2006}	834	25	1.0
Incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary embolism {Pengo, 2004}	314	94.3	3.8
Pulmonary embolism: one-year follow-up with echocardiography doppler and five-year survival analysis {Ribeiro, 1999}	78	12	5.0

### **CT-Signs of CTEPH at the time of the acute PE**

	CTEPH + (n=7)	CTEPH – (n=99)
Organised mural thrombi, yes / no, (%)	6 (86) / 1(14)	20 (20) / 79 (80)
Arterial webs or bands, yes / no, (%)	4 (57) / 3 (43)	3 (3) / 96 (97)
Dilated bronchial arteries, yes / no, (%)	1 (25) / 3 (75)1	13 (13) / 76 (87)
Mosaic parenchymal perfusion pattern, yes / no, (%)	6 (86) / 1 (14)	27 (27) / 70 (73)
Presence of at least two CT signs, yes / no, (%)	7 (100) / 0 (0)	19 (19) / 80 (81)
<sup>1</sup> Due to the quality of injections in bronchial arteries, this si	on was interpretable in o	only 4 out the 7 CT.

Guérin L, et al. *Thromb Haemost.* 2014 Sep 2;112(3):598-605.

#### **Comment/Proposal 1 – CTEPH disease concepts**

- The reported history of acute PE in >75% of CTEPH cases may be an overestimation
- <u>CTEPH is not ruled out</u> by the absence of a history of VTE.

#### **Diagnostic algorithm**



#### Diagnostic management according to echocardiographic probability of PH in patients with symptoms compatible with PH, with or without risk factors for PAH or CTEPH

Echocardiographic probability of PH	Without risk factors or associated condition for PAH or CTEPH <sup>c</sup>	Class <sup>a</sup>	Level⁵
Low	Alternative diagnosis should be considered	lla	C
Intermediate	Alternative diagnosis, echo follow-up, should be considered	lla	U
	Further investigation of PH may be considered <sup>d</sup>	llb	
High	Further investigation of PH (including RHC <sup>d</sup> ) is recommended	I.	U
Echocardiographic probability of PH	With risk factors or associated conditions for PAH or CTEPH <sup>c</sup>	Class <sup>a</sup>	Level⁵
Low	Echo follow-up should be considered	lla	С
Intermediate	rmediate Further assessment of PH including RHC should be considered <sup>c</sup>		В
High	Further investigation of PH <sup>d</sup> including RHC is recommended	I	С

Galié N, Humbert M, et al, *Eur Heart J*. 2016 Jan 1;37(1):67-119.

### **CTEPH Associated Conditions**

Associated condition	OR
VA shunt/infected leads <sup>1, 2</sup>	13.00 [2.5-129] and 76.4 [7.67-10350.62]
Splenectomy <sup>1, 2, 3</sup>	13.00 [2.7-127] and 17.87 [1.56-2438]
Recurrent VTE <sup>1</sup>	14.4 [5.40-43.08]
Thyroid replacement therapy <sup>1</sup>	6.1 [2.73-15.05]
Previous VTE <sup>1</sup>	4.52 [2.35-9.12]
Antiphospholipid antibodies /LA <sup>1</sup>	4.20 [1.56-12.21]
Survived cancer <sup>1</sup>	3.76 [1.47-10.43]
Inflammatory bowel disease 1, 2	3.19 [0.74-16.03]
Blood groups non-0 <sup>1,4</sup>	2.09 [1.12-3.94]
Fibrinogen A $\alpha$ Thr312Ala polymorphism $^5$	1.68 [ 1.13-2.49]
HLA-B*5201 (Japan) <sup>6</sup>	2.14 [1.29-3.55]
HLA-DPB1*0202 (Japan) <sup>6</sup>	3.41 [1.71-6.74]

1 Bonderman D and Lang IM, et al. ERJ 2009; 33: 325-3

2 Bonderman D et al Thromb Haemost. 2005;93:512-516

3 Jais et al Thorax. 2005;60:1031-1034

4 Bonderman D et al Thromb Haemost. 2003;90:372-376

5 Suntharalingam J et al. *Eur Respir J.* 2008;31:736-741

6 Tanabe N et al Eur Respir J. 2005;25:131-138.

#### **Diagnostic algorithm**









### **Comment/Proposal 2 - diagnosis**

- Clinical likelihood should be actively evaluated, for example splenectomy is a definitive cause for CTEPH
- In the diagnostic work-up for CTEPH an invasive pulmonary angiogram should become mandatory.
- In doubt, selective pulmonary angiography with direct injection should be performed.

### **CTEPH treatment options**

	PEA	Medical treatment	Angioplasty
Experience	>8500 cases Multiple publications	CHEST-1 and CHEST-2	~350 documented cases (>1300 procedures)
Indication	Operable CTEPH	Non-operable and persistent/recurrent PH	Non-operated disease (not established for cases with previous PEA)
Pros	Accepted standard, reproducible results, recommended in guidelines, potentially curative	Entirely non-invasive	Percutaneous procedure according to interventional standards
Cons	Invasive surgical procedure	Life-long treatment, evidence currently based on a single positive trial	Emerging technique, comparative trials lacking, not established outside of Japan.

Lang IM and Madani M. *Circulation*. 2014 Aug 5;130(6):508-18.

### **Treatment algorithm**



Galié N, Humbert M, et al, *Eur Heart J*. 2016 Jan 1;37(1):67-119.

### **CTEPH Risk Assessment - surgery**



	Low Risk (All criteria)	Intermediate Risk (1 criterion)	High Risk (1 criterion)	Prohibitive Risk (1 criterion)
STS PROM	< 4%	4-8%	> 8%	> 50% risk of death/major morbidity at 1 year
Frailty	None	1 index (mild)	2 indices (mod-severe)	
Major Organ System Compromise	None	1 organ system	≤2 organ systems	≥ 3 organ systems
Procedure- specific Impediment	None	Possible	Possible	Severe

Nishimura RA et al. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014 Jul;148(1):e1-e132.

# **Limited frailty evaluation**

- Grip strength
- Gait speed
  - Walking as geriatric vital sign
  - History of falls
- ADL assessment
  - iADL assessment
- Cognitive Assessment
- BMI

- No frailty
  - able to perform all ADL
  - Performs 5MWT in < 6 seconds</li>
- Mild frailty
  - unable to perform 1 ADL
  - unable to perform 5MWT in < 6 seconds</li>

WE ARE THE

ESC

- Severe frailty
  - unable to perform  $\geq 2 \text{ ADL}$

### **CTEPH Risk Assessment - CTEPH**



Determinants of prognosis	operated	Not operated
dialysis-dependent renal failure	HR, 11.52; 95% CI, 1.42–93.48; <i>P</i> =0.0221	
bridging therapy with PAH drugs	HR, 2.62; 95% CI, 1.30–5.28; <i>P</i> =0.0072	
need for additional cardiac procedures	HR, 3.10; 95% CI, 1.54–6.24; <i>P</i> =0.0015	
History of acute VTE	HR, 0.48; 0.24–0.97; <i>P=</i> 0.0413	
Preoperative mPAP	HR, 0.67; 0.47–0.94; <i>P=</i> 0.0226	
NYHA class	HR, 4.16; 95% CI, 1.49–11.62; <i>P</i> =0.0065	HR, 4.76; 95% Cl, 1.76–12.88; <i>P</i> =0.0021
History of cancer	HR, 3.02; 95% CI, 1.36–6.69; <i>P</i> =0.0065	HR, 2.15; 95% Cl, 1.18–3.94; <i>P</i> =0.0129
increased RAP	HR, 1.34; 95% Cl, 0.95–1.90; <i>P</i> =0.0992	HR, 1.50; 95% Cl, 1.20–1.88; <i>P</i> =0.0004
coronary disease		HR, 1.81; 95% Cl, 1.00–3.28; <i>P</i> =0.0492
left heart failure		HR, 1.98; 95% Cl, 1.02–3.83; <i>P</i> =0.0440
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease		HR, 2.14; 95% CI, 1.22–3.73; <i>P</i> =0.0075

Delcroix M et al. Circulation 2016 Mar 1;133(9):859-71.

### **CTEPH Risk Assessment** Pulmonary Artery Occlusion Waveform Analysis



Kim NH, et al. Circulation 2004;109:18-22.

### **Comment/Proposal 3 – treatment decisions**

- Risk assessment should be performed prior to a CTEPH treatment decision
  - A surgical risk score including frailty assessment
  - CTEPH disease inherent risk
  - Vascular physiology upstream resistance

### **Treatment algorithm**



Galié N, Humbert M, et al, *Eur Heart J*. 2016 Jan 1;37(1):67-119.

#### International CTEPH Association

# **International BPA Registry**

# **Key facts**

International BPA Registry
International CTEPH Association
4-year prospective registry with 24 months recruitment and 24 months follow-up time
Prof Nick H. Kim, San Diego, USA
Prof Irene Lang, Vienna, Austria
Analyze consecutive BPA cases for the treatment of CTEPH from the leading international CTEPH teams
Bayer AG
15–20 sites from Europe, North America and Japan
500 (max. 40 per site)

# **Registry objectives**

- Primary objective
  - Efficacy of BPA
  - Safety of BPA
  - Assess change of mPAP and PVR from baseline

#### Secondary objectives

- Compare and contrast BPA patient selection process
- Compare and contrast BPA techniques
- Track and analyze BPA complications

# Numbers and distribution of pulmonary thromboembolic lesions (500 procedures for 97pts)

Lesion type	А	В	С	D	Е
Description	Ring-like	Web	Subtotal	Pouching	Tortuous
Number, n	248	1235	342	67	44
Bifurcation lesion, n (%)	248 (100)	1092 (88.4)	301 (88.0)	61 (91.0)	0 (0)
Distribution (upper/middle or ling	gular/lower)				
Right lung, n	103/7/46	215/172/367	64/42/118	6/16/24	5/3/9
Left lung, n	29/0/63	61/22/398	13/6/99	0/2/19	6/1/20
Success, n (%)	248 (100)	1219 (98.7)	296 (86.5)*	35 (52.2)†	28 (63.6)
Complication, n (%)	4 (1.6)	27 (2.2)	53 (15.5)*	4 (6.0)	19 (43.2)
Type of complication					
Balloon injury, n	3	7	5	0	0
Wire injury/perforation, n	0	12	41	4	19
Dissection of vessels, n	1	8	7	0	0

Courtesy Prof. Hiromi Matsubara

### **Assessing BPA risk: Lesion classification**



# **Comment/Proposal 4 - BPA**

- More than 500 patients have undergone BPA in Europe
- BPA is effective: mPAP decreased by 23%, PVR by 38% and CO increased by 17%
- Complication rates are between 1 and 10% of cases
- A European BPA registry is under way and much needed
- Lesion classification for establishment of a risk score matters

### **Tx Algorithm 2018-2020**





## Thank you for your attention!